

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,925	06/23/2003	William Richards	98,189-P1	7823
7590 05/08/2006		EXAMINER		
A. Blair Hughes			GORDON, BRIAN R	
McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff			ART UNIT I	PAPER NUMBER
32nd Floor 300 S. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606			1743	
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/601,925	RICHARDS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Brian R. Gordon	1743				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period f	or Reply						
THE - External after aft	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. He period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 M	arch 2006.					
· —	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 2-9 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	tion Papers						
9)🖾	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
·	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	• •		,				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claim 9 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Claim 9 is directed to subject matter which would have been in a separate group from claims 2-8 if originally filed. The subject matter was not previously considered.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 9 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Specification

- 1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 2. The preliminary amendment filed June 23, 2003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The instant application is a continuation of US Application 09/690,296, which teaches annealing at least two primers, there is no teaching of annealing at least one within the disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,925

Art Unit: 1743

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 4. Claims 2-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not describe how only one only one oligonucleotide primer is annealed or that the processing of doing such would yield any productive results.
- 5. Claims 2-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification recites (Example III, page 30, 1st paragraph of applicant's specification):

"The step of annealing is performed in the presence of a molar excess of at least $\underline{\mathsf{two}}$ oligonucleotide primers, wherein at least one primer corresponds to the target molecule sequence and

Art Unit: 1743

at least one other primer corresponds to a sequence that is complementary to the target molecule."

There is no indication that annealing only one primer to the target molecules is sufficient or even possible to perform to achieve the desired results as disclosed.

Terminal Disclaimer

6. The terminal disclaimer filed on March 3, 2006 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US Patent 6,582,962 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments, see remarks, filed March 3,2006, with respect to the double patenting rejection(s) of claim(s) 2-8 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, As to applicant's arguments that Example III teaches the use of two primers, therefore this supports the claim of "annealing at least one primer". The examiner maintains the previous position. The method required in the specification requires two primers. There is no mention of a method in which only one primer is involved. The phrase "at least one" means the method can be performed with only one primer. As previously stated, there is no such support provided within applicant's specification to support such a claim.

US Patent No. 5,599,674 are not the teachings of applicant nor incorporated by reference. As such the teachings of the patent have no patentable weight in the examination of the instant claims. The copy of Wikipedia provided by applicant does

Art Unit: 1743

not predate the filing date of the instant applicant. Regardless of such, even if Wikipedia supported applicant's claim, the specification is still insufficient for supporting the claim of performing such a method including step of annealing only one primer.

For the reasons given herein above the previous 112 rejections are hereby maintained.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian R. Gordon whose telephone number is 571-272-1258. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, with 2nd and 4th F off.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,925

Art Unit: 1743

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

brg

EAN